

From: [Tax, Wienke](#)
To: [Tax, Wienke](#)
Subject: Fw: San Luis Obispo PM10 violations?
Date: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 12:56:07 PM
Attachments: [graycol.gif](#)
[ecblank.gif](#)

Wienke Tax
EPA Region 9 Air Planning Office
San Francisco, CA ph: 415.947.4192

----- Forwarded by Wienke Tax/R9/USEPA/US on 07/01/2015 12:55 PM -----

From: MichaelA Flagg/R9/USEPA/US
To: Wienke Tax/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/01/2010 09:51 AM
Subject: Re: San Luis Obispo PM10 violations?

Sounds good, i have not heard from her either.

I took a brief look at the report (i actually helped set-up some of the sites in the study), and it seems most of the "violations" are of the California Standard (70 ug/m³). Also, there are a few permanent sites with appropriate FRM equipment, but a lot of the instrumentation used in the study itself are do not FRM/FEM.

Let me know what you think, i would be happy to give her a call sometime today.

Michael Flagg
Air Quality Analysis Office
EPA Region 9
415.972.3372
Flagg.MichaelA@epa.gov

☐ Wienke Tax---02/26/2010 04:56:21 PM---Michael - I am gone for the day, but maybe we can call her together on Monday. She has not called me

Wienke Tax/R9/USEPA/US
From:
Scott Bohning/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
To:
Cc: MichaelA Flagg/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
02/26/2010 04:56 PM
Date:
Re: San Luis Obispo PM10 violations?
Subject:

Michael - I am gone for the day, but maybe we can call her together on Monday. She has not called me so far this afternoon.

-----Scott Bohning/R9/USEPA/US wrote: -----

=====
To: MichaelA Flagg/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Wienke Tax/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Scott Bohning/R9/USEPA/US
Date: 02/26/2010 03:42PM
Subject: San Luis Obispo PM10 violations?
=====

Michael & Wienke - (Wienke, Lisa said you might be able to address this.)

This is a "head's up" that you both will likely receive a call from Dr. Nell Langford (805/773-4771) about results of a recent study in San Luis Obispo that she says attributes PM10 violations to off-road vehicle travel on the beaches and dunes there. She wanted an emergency injunction under CAA section 303 to shut down the activity.

I tried to explain more about how our process works, but I thought someone from Planning should explain steps we have to go through once an area is found in violation.

I spoke with Michael about this already -- he is very familiar with an earlier phase of the study. He mentioned that the study may not have been using Federal Reference Method monitors, so the concentrations might not constitute a violation.

SLO district site has the study:
<http://www.slocleanair.org/>

Dr. Langford also mentioned a group / web site she is involved with, and mentioned some videos they have.
<http://www.safebeachanddunes.org/>

- Scott B.